

*BEST COPY
Available*

OCT 19 1963

Bobby's Hand Elsewhere

By ROBERT S. ALLEN
AND PAUL SCOTT



Mr. Allen

The so-called counter-insurgency program—President Kennedy's once loudly-touted plan to assist foreign governments to combat subversion and revolution — is undergoing exhaustive congressional scrutiny.

This unannounced investigation was instituted by Representative Clarence Cannon, D-Mo., veteran chairman of the powerful House Appropriations Committee.

Cannon decided an extensive inquiry was called for as the result of a number of disconcerting disclosures in the course of his committee's months-long closed-door hearings on the budgets of governmental agencies. Much of this very revealing testimony will never be published as it has been censored on the ground of being classified information.

But it can be reported that foremost among these backstage discoveries were:

Attorney General Robert Kennedy is the real boss of the Interdepartmental Committee on Counterinsurgency established by his brother, the President, to direct this program. Nominal chairman is Undersecretary of State U. Alexis Johnson, veteran career diplomat with wide experience in Iron Curtain countries. But the real ruler of the program is Bob Kennedy.

Large amounts of foreign aid and Central Intelligence Agency funds are being used to finance the worldwide activities of the counter-insurgency plan. Most of this money is being spent in organizing, training, equipping and maintaining special police (security) forces.

The Appropriations Committee has ascertained that \$3 million a year of such funds is being expended for this purpose in South Vietnam; more than \$615,000 in the Dominican Republic during the seven-month regime of deposed President Bosch; and around \$500,000 in Honduras, where President Villeda Morales was ousted by a military coup. In each of these government overthrows, the U.S.-financed and trained special police either did nothing or were wholly ineffectual.

Large sums are being spent for special counter-insurgency forces in Peru, Bolivia, Colombia and Venezuela—the last three seriously threatened by Communist terrorists on one hand and military takeovers on the other.

How Come and Why

How Come and Why
particularly interested in learning

the reason for Bobby Kennedy's exceptional interest in and formulation of the counter-insurgency program—in view of the fact that it operates abroad and largely in the military field.

This undercover situation is another instance of the young Attorney General's active participation in virtually everything that transpires in his brother's administration.

Bobby has his hand in every important policy and decision at home and abroad—regardless of whether it has anything to do with the Justice Department or not.

The investigators propose to uncover the extent and nature of his activities in the counterinsurgency program, which proved a dismal flop in Honduras and the Dominican Republic, and is under sharp attack in South Vietnam where the U.S.-financed special forces have been used by the Diem brothers to attack and jail thousands of Buddhist priests and students, and beat up American newsmen.

Answers are being sought to the House probes to such other pertinent questions as:

Total of foreign aid and CIA funds already spent on counter-insurgency operations in foreign countries.

Who makes these decisions and on what basis?

What reports if any have been compiled by the Interdepartment Committee on Counterinsurgency: who gets them and what is done about them—if anything?

Cause of the failure of the special police forces in Honduras and the Dominican Republic to avert or resist the military coups.

A full account of the tug-of-war between the Diem brothers and State Department officials, headed by Undersecretary Averell Harriman, for control of the special force in South Vietnam, numbering some 13,000. The Diems installed their own commander, Colonel Le Quang Tung, who led the violent crackdown on the Buddhists and students. The State Department, with President Kennedy's approval, tried to replace him, but so far to no avail.

In addition to Chairman Alexis Johnson and Attorney General Robert Kennedy, other members of the Interdepartmental Counterinsurgency Committee are General Maxwell Taylor, head of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, CIA Director John McCone, Foreign Aid Director David Bell, and McGeorge Bundy, special foreign aid adviser to the President.

ILLEGIB

June 20, 1963

Secret

Hon. Kermit Gordon
Director
Bureau of the Budget
Washington 25, D.C.

Dear Mr. Director:

During July, the Central Intelligence Agency should proceed with regular activities on the basis of a joint resolution continuing appropriations, expected to be enacted next week.

In addition, the Agency is authorized to proceed with the program discussed in the hearing, which contemplates withdrawal from Reserves, up to

25X1

Final determination on appropriations for the Agency will be provided upon completion of Committee analysis of budget estimates.

Sincerely,

(A1)

Clarence Cannon, Chairman

cc: Senate
Agency

25X1

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Bolton, Frances P.	Hanna	Pepper
Bolton, Oliver P.	Hansen	Ferkins
Bow	Harding	Philbin
Brademas	Harrison	Fike
Bray	Harsha	Pillion
Bromwell	Harvey, Ind.	Pirnie
Brooks	Hawkins	Powell
Brotzman	Hays	Price
Brown, Calif.	Healey	Fucinski
Brown, Ohio	Hechler	Quile
Bruce	Hoeven	Randall
Burke	Holfield	Reid, Ill.
Burkhalter	Holland	Rhodes, Ariz.
Byrne, Pa.	Horan	Rhodes, Pa.
Byrnes, Wis.	Horton	Rich
Cahill	Hosmer	Riehlman
Cannon	Hull	Elvers, Alaska
Carey	Hutchinson	Robison
Cederberg	Ichord	Rodino
Celler	Jennings	Rogers, Colo.
Chamberlain	Jensen	Rooney, N.Y.
Chelf	Jeolson	Rooney, Pa.
Chenoweth	Johansen	Roosevelt
Clancy	Johnson, Wis.	Rosenthal
Clark	Karsten	Rostenkowski
Lawson, Del.	Karth	Roudebush
Cleveland	Kastenmeier	Roush
Conte	Kee	Rumsfeld
Corman	Keith	Ryan, Mich.
Cunningham	Kelly	St. George
Curtin	Keogh	Saylor
Curtis	Kilgore	Schadeberg
Daddario	King, Calif.	Schneebeli
Dague	King, N.Y.	Schweiker
Daniels	Kirwan	Schwengel
Dawson	Knox	Secret
Deaney	Kunkel	Senner
Dent	Laird	Shipley
Denton	Langen	Short
Derounian	Latta	Shriver
Devine	Leggett	Sickles
Diggs	Lesinski	Sisk
Dingell	Libonati	Skubitz
Dole	Lindsay	Slack
Donohue	Lipscomb	Smith, Calif.
Duncan	McClary	Smith, Iowa
Dwyer	McCulloch	Snyder
Edmondson	McDade	Springer
Edwards	McIntire	Staebler
Fallon	McLoskey	Stafford
Farbstein	MacGregor	Staggers
Fascell	Madden	Steed
Findley	Martin, Nebr.	Stinson
Finnegan	May	Stratton
Flood	Meador	Taft
Fogarty	Miller, Calif.	Talcott
Ford	Miller, N.Y.	Teague, Calif.
Fraser	Milliken	Thomas
Friedel	Minish	Thompson, N.J.
Fulton, Pa.	Minshall	Toll
Fulton, Tenn.	Monagan	Tollefson
Garmatz	Montoya	Udall
Galimo	Moore	Utt
Gibbons	Moorhead	Van Deerlin
Gilbert	Morgan	Vanik
Gill	Morris	Wallhauser
Glenn	Morse	Weaver
Gonzalez	Multer	Weltner
Goodell	Natcher	Westland
Goodling	Nelsen	Wharton
Grabowski	Nix	Widnall
Gray	O'Brien, N.Y.	Wilson, Bob
Green, Oreg.	O'Hara, Ill.	Wilson,
Griffin	O'Hara, Mich.	Charles H.
Gross	O'Konski	Wilson, Ind.
Grover	Olsen, Mont.	Wright
Gubser	Olsen, Minn.	Wyder
Hagen, Calif.	O'Neill	Wyman
Halleck	Ostertag	Young
Halpern	Patten	Younger
	Pelly	

NAYS—80

Abbitt	Flynt	Mahon
Abernethy	Forrester	Marsh
Alger	Fountain	Matthews
Andrews	Fuqua	Murray
Ashmore	Gary	Passman
Baring	Gathings	Patman
Beckworth	Grant	Poage
Bennett, Fla.	Gurney	Poff
Bonner	Hagan, Ga.	Pool
Brock	Haley	Purcell
Broyhill, N.C.	Harris	Quillen
Broyhill, Va.	Hebert	Rains
Burleson	Hemphill	Rivers, S.C.
Colmer	Henderson	Roberts, Ala.
Cooley	Herlong	Roberts, Tex.
Cramer	Huddleston	Rogers, Fla.
Davis, Ga.	Jarman	Rogers, Tex.
Dorn	Jonas	Scott
Dowdy	Jones, Ala.	Selden
Downing	Kornegay	Sikes
Elliott	Landrum	Smith, Va.
Everett	Lennon	Stephens
Evlins	McMillan	Taylor

Teague, Tex.	Tuten	Whitten
Thompson, Tex.	Waggonner	Williams
Trimble	Watson	Winstead
Tuck	Whitener	

NOT VOTING—88

Arends	Harvey, Mich.	Pilcher
Baker	Hoffman	Reid, N.Y.
Battin	Johnson, Calif.	Reifel
Bennett, Mich.	Jones, Mo.	Reuss
Berry	Kilburn	Roybal
Boggs	Kluczynski	Ryan, N.Y.
Broomfield	Lankford	St. Germain
Buckley	Lloyd	St. Onge
Burton	Long, La.	Schenck
Cameron	Long, Md.	Shelley
Casey	McDowell	Sheppard
Clausen	McFall	Sibal
Don H.	Macdonald	Siler
Cohelan	Mailliard	Stubblefield
Collier	Martin, Calif.	Sullivan
Corbett	Martin, Mass.	Thompson, La.
Davis, Tenn.	Mathias	Thomson, Wis.
Derwinski	Matsunaga	Thornberry
Dulski	Michel	Tupper
Ellsworth	Mills	Ullman
Feighan	Morrison	Van Pelt
Fino	Morton	Vinson
Fisher	Mosher	Watts
Foreman	Moss	Whalley
Frelinghuysen	Murphy, Ill.	White
Gallagher	Murphy, N.Y.	Wickersham
Green, Pa.	Nedzi	Willis
Griffiths	Norblad	Zablocki
Hall	O'Brien, Ill.	
Hardy	Osmers	

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the resolution was agreed to.

The Clerk announced the following pairs:

On this vote:
Mr. Lankford and Mr. St. Onge for, with Mr. Hardy against.
Mr. Buckley and Mr. Shelley for, with Mr. Fisher against.
Mr. Green of Pennsylvania and Mrs. Sullivan for, with Mr. Morrison against.
Mr. Cameron and Mr. Sheppard for, with Mr. Boggs against.
Mr. Zablocki and Mr. Murphy of Illinois for, with Mr. Willis against.
Mr. Arends and Mr. Watts for, with Mr. Davis of Tennessee against.
Mr. St. Germain and Mr. Dulski for, with Mr. Mills against.
Mr. Ryan of New York and Mr. McFall for, with Mr. Thompson of Louisiana against.
Mr. Moss and Mr. White for, with Mr. Vinson against.
Mr. Cohelan and Mr. Kluczynski for, with Mr. Long of Louisiana against.

Until further notice:
Mr. Johnson of California with Mr. Bennett of Michigan.
Mr. Reuss with Mr. Schenck.
Mr. Feighan with Mr. Don H. Clausen.
Mrs. Griffiths with Mr. Berry of South Dakota.

Mr. Nedzi with Mr. Michel.
Mr. Murphy of New York with Mr. Osmers.
Mr. Ullman with Mr. Kilburn.
Mr. Stubblefield with Mr. Mosher.
Mr. Casey with Mr. Collier.
Mr. MacDonald with Mr. Hall.
Mr. McDowell with Mr. Baker.
Mr. O'Brien of Illinois with Mr. Mathias.
Mr. Gallagher with Mr. Morton.
Mr. Roybal with Mr. Reid of New York.
Mr. Wickersham with Mr. Broomfield.
Mr. Matsunaga with Mr. Ellsworth.
Mr. Pilcher with Mr. Frelinghuysen.
Mr. Long of Maryland with Mr. Reifel.
Mr. Thornberry with Mr. Mailliard.
Mr. Corbett with Mr. Hoffman.
Mr. Tupper with Mr. Martin, of Massachusetts.
Mr. Foreman with Mr. Battin.
Mr. Sibal with Mr. Thompson of Wisconsin.
Mr. Norblad with Mr. Siler.
Mr. Harvey of Michigan with Mr. Van Pelt.
Mr. Lloyd with Mr. Martin of California.
Mr. Burton with Mr. Derwinski.
Mr. Fino with Mr. Derwinski.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

INDEPENDENT OFFICES APPROPRIATION BILL, 1964

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Appropriations may have until midnight tonight to file a report on the independent offices appropriation bill, 1964.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. OSTERTAG reserved all points of order on the bill.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATION BILL, 1964

Mr. MAHON submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 7179) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1964, and for other purposes:

CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. NO. 812)

The Committee of Conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 7179) "making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1964, and for other purposes," having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its amendment numbered 7.

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendments of the Senate numbered 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 13, 17, 18, 22, 23 and 26 and agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 8: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 8, and agreed to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$45,000,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 12: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 12, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$3,369,071,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 14: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 14, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$2,913,600,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 15: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 15, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$191,325,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 16: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 16, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$4,355,500,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 19: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 19, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amend-

17910

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — HOUSE

October 7

ment insert "\$2,889,145,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 21: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 21, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$3,385,575,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 24: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 24, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$1,386,141,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 25: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 25, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$3,453,376,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

The committee of conference report in disagreement—amendments numbered 9, 11, 20 and 27.

RICHARD B. RUSSELL,
CARL HAYDEN,
LISTER HILL,
JOHN L. MCCLELLAN,
ALLEN J. ELLENDER,
HARRY F. BYRD,
LEVERETT SALTONSTALL,
MILTON YOUNG,
MARGARET CHASE SMITH,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

GEORGE MAHON,
HARRY R. SHEPPARD,
CLARENCE CANNON,
GERALD R. FORD,
HAROLD C. OSTERTAG,

Managers on the Part of the House.

STATEMENT

The managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 7179) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1964, and for other purposes, submit the following statement in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon and recommended in the accompanying conference report as to each of such amendments, namely:

TITLE I—MILITARY PERSONNEL

Military personnel, Army

Amendment No. 1: Provides \$100,000,000 by transfer from the Army stock fund and defense stock fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$125,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 2: Provides \$50,000,000 by transfer from the Army industrial fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$65,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Military personnel, Navy

Amendment No. 3: Provides \$30,000,000 by transfer from the defense stock fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$37,000,000 from the Navy stock fund and the defense stock fund as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 4: Strikes language providing for transfer from Navy stock fund as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 5: Provides for transfer of \$90,000,000 from the Navy industrial fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$110,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Military personnel, Air Force

Amendment No. 6: Provides for transfer of \$45,000,000 from the Air Force stock fund and the defense stock fund instead of \$20,000,000 by transfer from the defense stock fund as proposed by the Senate and \$175,000,000 by transfer from the Air Force stock fund and the defense stock fund as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 7: Restores language proposed by the House providing for transfers from the Air Force stock fund.

Amendment No. 8: Provides for transfer of \$10,000,000 from the Air Force industrial fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$35,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Reserve personnel, Army

Amendment No. 9: Reported in disagreement.

National Guard personnel, Army

Amendment No. 10: Appropriates \$242,800,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$240,300,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 11: Reported in disagreement.

It is the intent of the committee on conference, in providing the amounts indicated in amendments numbers 1 through 8, inclusive, to provide for carrying out the program of military personnel strengths proposed in the budget estimates. It is understood that adjustments may be sought in connection with the supplemental estimates covering the costs of the recently enacted military pay increase.

TITLE II—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Operation and maintenance, Army

Amendment No. 12: Appropriates \$3,369,071,000 instead of \$3,361,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,375,643,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Operation and maintenance, Navy

Amendment No. 13: Provides a limitation of \$7,800,000 for emergency and extraordinary expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$7,400,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 14: Appropriates \$2,913,600,000 instead of \$2,905,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,919,200,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Operation and maintenance, Marine Corps

Amendment No. 15: Appropriates \$191,325,000 instead of \$191,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$191,650,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Operation and maintenance, Air Force

Amendment No. 16: Appropriates \$4,355,500,000 instead of \$4,341,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,370,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Operation and maintenance, Army National Guard

Amendment No. 17: Appropriates \$180,800,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$176,600,000 as proposed by the House.

TITLE III—PROCUREMENT

Procurement of equipment and missiles, Army

Amendment No. 18: Appropriates \$2,931,094,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$2,958,894,000 as proposed by the House.

Procurement of aircraft and missiles, Navy

Amendment No. 19: Appropriates \$2,889,145,000 instead of \$2,877,445,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,928,845,000 as proposed by the Senate. The committee of conference has deleted the funds restored by the Senate for the EA6A aircraft. The program presented to the Committee on Appropriations for the modification of existing aircraft to the proposed EA6A configuration is approved and should be accomplished with funds presently available in this appropriation item. Funds restored to the bill by the Senate in the amount of \$10,200,000 for the Gulfstream aircraft are deleted. Funds restored to the bill by the Senate in the amount of \$11,700,000 for the T2B trainer aircraft are approved.

Shipbuilding and conversion, Navy

Amendment No. 20: Reported in disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to appropriate \$2,059,589,000 instead of \$2,080,089,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,068,089,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Aircraft procurement, Air Force

Amendment No. 21: Appropriates \$3,385,575,000 instead of \$3,328,900,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,395,075,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have reduced by \$9,500,000 the funds restored by the Senate for the C-141 aircraft. This is to be applied to the procurement of spare parts and is not to interfere with the number of aircraft programed in the bill as approved by the Senate.

Missile procurement, Air Force

Amendment No. 22: Appropriates \$2,141,990,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$2,129,490,000 as proposed by the House.

Other procurement, Air Force

Amendment No. 23: Appropriates \$878,299,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$871,299,000 as proposed by the House.

TITLE IV—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

Research, development, test, and evaluation, Army

Amendment No. 24: Appropriates \$1,386,141,000 instead of \$1,363,141,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,391,141,000 as proposed by the Senate. The committee of conference approves an increase of \$23,000,000 for the defense communication satellite as proposed by the Senate and is agreed that \$5,000,000 above the House amount be restored to the LANCE missile program to be offset by a corresponding reduction in an aircraft development program.

Research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force

Amendment No. 25: Appropriates \$3,453,376,000 instead of \$3,416,146,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,483,376,000 as proposed by the Senate. The increase above the House amount includes \$30,000,000 for the mobile medium range ballistic missile program and \$7,230,000 for a classified project.

TITLE V—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Amendment No. 26: Provides for a limitation of 20 per centum on indirect expenses in connection with research grants as proposed by the Senate instead of 25 per centum as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 27: Reported in disagreement.

GEORGE MAHON,
HARRY R. SHEPPARD,
CLARENCE CANNON,
GERALD R. FORD,
HAROLD C. OSTERTAG,

Managers on the Part of the House.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. HALLECK. Mr. Speaker, I would like to address a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. HALLECK. I believe the Consent Calendar has been scheduled for consideration. I am wondering if we can follow along with the Consent Calendar now, because on our side some of the objectors necessarily will have to be absent later on this afternoon.

The SPEAKER. The Chair intended to recognize Members for unanimous-consent requests and then proceed to the Consent Calendar.

WAIVER OF INDEBTEDNESS BY ADMINISTRATOR OF VETERANS' AFFAIRS

Mr. TEAGUE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from